

1. Jeff Gillan, *Garage sale treasure: Local bargain hunter buys Dali original* (3 News, June 15, 2015)  
How's this for a return on your investment? Spend \$5 at a Henderson garage sale, and walk away with a piece of art worth thousands.  
"Not last Saturday, the Saturday before," says Dave Shaw, telling News 3 about the neighborhood sale he visited late in the afternoon. Shaw is a treasure hunter, for lack of a better term. He buys and sells stuff on EBay, enlisting the help of his wife, who also helps him with research.  
But back to that one Saturday in Henderson. "He had quite a lot of good stuff there. A lot of it was expensive," Shaw said. "And then I looked to my left and saw the painting and picked it up and said 'how much is this?' and he said ten dollars, and I said 'well, I have five', and he said 'OK.'  
What he walked away with he later found out was an original 1977 print by Salvador Dali titled "Reflection." Shaw is not an art novice. He has an eye for things like this. He suspected this could be the real deal, so he called, friends, who put him in contact with friends in the art world. He called an expert in Washington State...

#### Opening gambit: Flattery

2. Rabbi Dr. Aaron Levine, *Moral Issues of the Marketplace in Jewish Law*, pg. 46  
Not wanting to end his response to the union's demands on a sour note, Pelt ended his presentation with a quip. Looking at Wineman with a mischievous twinkle in his eye, Pelt said, "Professor Wineman, you're trying to extend your famous law of household consumption to new frontiers."

3. Rabbi Ephraim Luntschitz (16<sup>th</sup> century Poland), *Commentary to Numbers 35:33*  
"And you shall not corrupt [*tachanifu*] the land" – One who gives something to a person who doesn't deserve it, so that the recipient will then give him something, is performing an act of *chanukah*.

4. Rabbi Dr. Aaron Levine, *Moral Issues of the Marketplace in Jewish Law*, pg. 53-54  
[Speaking one way while thinking another] is prohibited because such conduct sends out a false signal. In the case at hand, no false signal is sent out by the pleasantries Pelt and Wineman exchange before the bargaining session begins. This is so because each party does not mistakenly take his opposite number's inquiries about family as a gesture of friendship. Instead, these inquiries are taken as conversation for the sake of signaling to each other that they desire to be on speaking terms.

#### Calculating its worth: Concealing information

5. Brian Cathcart, *The Rothschild Libel* (The Independent, May 3, 2015)  
In the summer of 1846, a political pamphlet bearing the ominous signature "Satan" swept across Europe, telling a story which, though lurid and improbable, left a mark that can be seen to this day.  
The pamphlet claimed to recount the history of the richest and most famous banking family of the time – the Rothschilds – and its most enduring passage told how their vast fortune was built upon the bloodshed of the battle of Waterloo, whose bicentenary falls this year.  
Here is the story that "Satan" told. Nathan Rothschild, the founder of the London branch of the bank, was a spectator on the battlefield that day in June 1815 and, as night fell, he observed the total defeat of the French army. This was what he was waiting for. A relay of fast horses rushed him to the Belgian coast, but there he found to his fury that a storm had confined all ships to port. Undaunted – "Does greed admit anything is impossible?" asked Satan – he paid a king's ransom to a fisherman to ferry him through wind and waves to England.  
Reaching London 24 hours before official word of Wellington's victory, Rothschild exploited his knowledge to make a killing on the Stock Exchange. "In a single coup," announced the pamphlet, "he gained 20 million francs."...

Every aspect of [Georges] Dairnvaell's tale – the ruthlessness, the guile, the greed – represents a derogatory racial stereotype, and he was writing at a moment when such attitudes were having one of their periodic surges of popularity in Europe. The story was also false: Nathan Rothschild was not at Waterloo or even in Belgium at the time. There was no Channel storm. And he made no great killing on the stock market.

Yet the Satan pamphlet, translated into many languages and reprinted many times, gave this legend such a grip on history that, albeit often in modified or diluted forms, references to it can still be found today both in popular culture and in scholarly works. Versions appear in a Hollywood film of 1934 and the 2009 Sebastian Faulks novel *A Week in December*; in past editions of the *Dictionary of National Biography* and *Encyclopaedia Britannica*; in Elizabeth Longford's acclaimed 1970s biography of the Duke of Wellington; and (with a very different analysis) in Niall Ferguson's authorised history of the Rothschilds. Perhaps more predictably, the story provided the plot for a Nazi film of 1940 entitled *The Rothschilds: Shares in Waterloo*, and the tale can be read on many anti-Semitic websites.

#### 6. Talmud, Pesachim 113b

Gd despises these three: A person who speaks one way with his mouth and another with his heart; one who knows testimony on behalf of another and does not testify; and one who witnesses impropriety by another and testifies alone.

#### 7. Talmud, Ketuvot 97a

There was a famine in Neherda'a, and the population sold its great houses [for food]. In the end grain arrived, and Rav Nachman ruled that the purchasers had to return the great houses to their owners.

#### 8. Rabbi Shemuel di Medina (16<sup>th</sup> century Greece), Responsa of Maharashdam, Choshen Mishpat 379

The law has spread, that all who engage in commerce rely on local practice, unless they specify otherwise. If so, then if it is clear that the practice of merchants is that whether they spend much more than 1/6 beyond the value... or far less than 1/6 below the value... that they still don't take the *ona'ah* from each other, then we certainly should say that they entered this deal on that basis, they forgave each other, and there is no claim of *ona'ah*.

#### 9. Tosafot (12<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> century Western Europe), Ketuvot 47b

If you will ask, "We could testify regarding anyone who buys a cow that he didn't intend for it to die or be killed right away," we could answer that the purchaser is willing to accept that risk and enter the transaction.

#### Making your offer: Bluffing

##### 10. Marty Latz, <https://www.expertnegotiator.com/tip/negotiation-bluff-when-where-and-how-do-it>

In "The Negotiator," actor Samuel Jackson plays a police hostage negotiator who takes his own hostages to prove his fellow officers framed him for murder. During an incredibly tense scene, Jackson drags one of his hostages from view while screaming into the phone. We then hear a gunshot followed by dead silence. Everyone, especially the police barricaded outside, believes Jackson has murdered the hostage. This is critical.

Why?

Because Jackson – in what we later found out was a bluff – just established his credibility. If the police didn't believe Jackson would harm a hostage, then little would prevent them from storming the place.

#### 11. Mishnah Nedarim 3:1 (20b-21a)

What are "vows of goading"? He was selling an item and he said, "May this be consecrated if I would sell for less than a *se/a*," and the other said, "May this be consecrated if I would offer more than a *shekel*," both of them intend to meet at 3 *dinar*.

#### 12. Tosafot (12<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> century Western Europe), Nedarim 21a

From the start, when they vowed they wanted 3; we can testify that they intended this. Therefore, it is no vow.

#### Negotiating: Diversionary tactics

##### 13. Talmud, Bava Metzia 80a

If one sells a cow and says, "This cow gores, bites, kicks and sprawls," and it only has one of those defects, which he included among these defects, that is grounds for claiming it was an erroneous purchase. If he names this defect and one other, it is not an erroneous purchase.