Rava asks whether the oness exemption will work for a conditional get

- Rava Version 1: The exemption where the obstruction is oness does not apply to a conditional get...
- Rava Version 2: The exemption where the obstruction is oness does apply to a conditional get
- Challenges to Rava, from the same sources we tried to use to support him in Version 1
- 1: A mishnah in Gittin: If he says this is his get if he doesn't return in 12 months, and he dies in that period, the get isn't valid.
- So illness does not disqualify the get, and oness is not a valid claim!
- No: Illness also disqualifies the get; they mentioned death to taeach a specific lesson.
- 2: The end of that mishnah in Gittin: If he says this is his get from now if he doesn't return in 12 months, and he dies in that period, the get is valid
- So even death doesn't disqualify the get, and certainly then illness (a lesser oness) does not disqualify the get. Oness is not a valid claim!
- No: It's valid in a case of death, but not in a case of illness.
- 3: The case of a man who said it's a get if doesn't return in 30 days, and a river stopped, and Shemuel said it was a valid get.
- So oness doesn't disqualify the get. Oness is not a valid claim!
- No: That may be different, as a predictable oness.

Back to wedding dates

- Rav Shemuel bar Yitzchak: Using Wednesday was a function of Ezra setting Beit Din for Mon/Thu. Before that, beit din met daily, and so you could marry anytime. ${ }^{1}$
- Q: What was Rav Shemuel bar Yitzchak's point with this assertion? Whatever was, was!
- A: His point was prospective: Where beit din meets daily, we can marry on any day. ${ }^{2} 3$
- Challenge: But what about the takkanah שקדו
- He does have to work and prepare in advance.
- (3b) What is this שקזדו It's a braita:
- Marry on Wednesday to enable quick access to beit din for claims of post-kiddushin znut
- Not Sunday. שקדו, to make sure he would prepare
- But once sakkanah began, people started to marry on Tuesday, and the Sages don't protets
- Not Monday, ${ }^{6}$ unless due to oness.
- And we prevent having initial relations on Friday night, to avoid chaburah.

The Supports/Challenges for Rava

|  | THE CASE |  |  | THE IMPLICATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The condition | The <br> oness | Verdict | Implication re: <br> Oness: Death | Implication re: <br> Oness: Illness | Implication re: <br> Oness: River |
| 1 | If I'm not back in 12 mths, it's a get | Death | No Get | Effective | Ineffective | ? |
| 2 | If I'm not back in 12 mths, it's a get <br> from now | Death | Get | Ineffective | Ineffective |  |
| 3 | If I'm not back in 30 days | River | Get | $?$ | $?$ | Ineffective |

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Did Ezra actually reduce the days when beit din met?! (Tosafot)
    ${ }^{2}$ But won't we see a preference for Thursday on 5a? (Tosafot)
    ${ }^{3}$ But beit din doesn't meet on Shabbat, so shouldn't Friday be off-limits? (Tosafot, Ran)
    ${ }^{4}$ As we said from Ritva: It's to discourage false claims; Ramban: It's to prepare for the week of sheva berachot
    ${ }^{5}$ We saw it from Shemuel on 2a, but we need a Tanna if it will be used to challenge an amora (Rashi)
    ${ }^{6}$ To preserve two days of שקדו

