### Chullin 4

### R' Mordechai Torczyner – torczyner@torontotorah.com

3b

Gemara: Shechitah by a Kuti

• Abbaye and Rava are challenged by different parts of a braisa:

ברייתא	רבא	אביי	
"עומד על גביו"	יוצא ונכנס	עומד על גביו	לכתחילה
If he eats	If he eats	יוצא ונכנס	בדיעבד

- If the Kuti has birds,<sup>1</sup> see if he eats them
  - o Don't let him use any means of identifying which bird is which
  - o We assume he accepts the laws of שהיטה for poultry, although they are not explicit in the Torah

# Gemara (tangent): When may we rely on a Kuti for mitzvot?

- Source case: Matzah made by a kuti<sup>2</sup>
  - o Tanna Kama Good even for Pesach night; they know שימור
  - o R' Eliezer Assur all Pesach;<sup>3</sup> they don't keep it from chimutz
  - o Rabban Shimon ben Gamliel That which they accepted, they do better than we do
- What's the difference between the Tanna Kama and Rabban Shimon ben Gamliel?
  - o 1 The Tanna Kama trusted even without acceptance for that which is explicit in the Torah
  - o 2 The Tanna Kama trusted only if it's accepted and in the Torah

## Gemara: מומר אוכל נבילות לתאבון will opt for permitted options if they are available

- Rav Ashi cited Rava that the מומר's shechitah is okay if you give him a kosher knife
- (4b) Support from chametz post-Pesach; we assume he traded with a non-Jew<sup>4 5</sup>
  - o Challenge: Maybe we rely on him there only because the prohibition is light, a d'rabbanan?
  - o No; if they are careful about rabbinic, they certainly are careful re: biblical
- Support from a braisa accepting a mumar's shechitah
  - o This is a mumar for shechitah, because we separately invoke a *mumar arel* in that braisa
  - o No It's a mumar for idolatry, following view that his shechitah is kosher<sup>6</sup>

## Gemara (tangent): מומר לע"ז of a מומר לע"ז

- Proof you may eat Yehoshaphat ate from Achav's seudah in Divrei haYamim II 18:2
  - o ויסיתהו proves he ate
  - And don't say he only drank
  - o And we know the שוחטים were bad<sup>7 8</sup>
  - o (5a) And don't say he ate a separate שהיטה; the language indicates he was with Achav
- Proof you may eat Eliyahu and the ravens

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rashi – A string; Tosafot – A basket

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It must be a Jew's dough which the Kuti baked, and the Kuti must actually eat (Tosafot)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Rashi for an alternate, rejected edition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Even though he is not allowed to benefit from that transaction (Rashi)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> How may we eat the non-Jew's dough? (Tosafot)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Isn't he compared to a Sadducee, who is disqualified n 13a? (Tosafot)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> We argue that if a ruler is bad, his servants are bad; what about Ovadiah? (Yaavetz)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> We argue that if a ruler is good, his servants are good; what about Gechazi? (Arvei Nachal to Vayyera)