Menachos 103

R' Mordechai Torczyner – torczyner@torontotorah.com

103a

Mishnah: Vowing to bring an ineligible מנחה: Tanna Kama – Bring a proper one; R' Shimon – It's not a vow

Gemara: Why can't he just claim he never intended to bring a proper מנחה?

- #1 Chizkiyah This is Beit Shammai:¹ Assume he was recanting, and follow initial language²
- #2 R' Yochanan Assume he erred and then accepted the change to what he should have said
- What if someone says he will bring a מנחה from lentils?
 - Chizkiyah Recanted on linking our mishnah to Beit Shammai, because our mishnah only mentioned a case of legitimate error. In a lentil case, no vow.
 - R' Yochanan Personally would say this is beyond error, but Chizkiyah doesn't need to back down.
- (103b) Within Chizkiyah's principle of following initial language:
 - Zeiri Our mishnah's ruling is only where he said "minchah" as a stand-alone word

Mishnah: Maximum size of one private מנחה – 60 isaron

- Tanna Kama To be one less than the biggest communal one 1st day of Succos on Shabbos
- R' Shimon The mixing won't be done well

Gemara: Why is this the maximum size?

- R' Yehudah,³ Rosh haMedabrim Like our Tanna Kama
- R' Shimon This is the שיעור they established for mixing well
 - R' Zeira We require only that mixing be possible, not actual⁴

Gemara (tangent⁵): Does blood of a גבילה communicate impurity?

- Rav Bibi They once gauged a mule's blood against a רביעית to see if it would communicate tumah
- R' Yitzchak bar Bisna challenges: Blood of טהור is טהור, and even have a story backing it up!
- Rav Bibi was silent
 - Rav Bibi I couldn't concentrate, because I need to buy bread daily; link to Devarim 28:66⁶⁷
 - o (104a) In truth, though, they measured because they followed R' Yehudah, who says it is tamei

¹ In Beit Shammai's nazir case, the person may eat דבילה (Rashi Menachos 81b)

² Because people don't express themselves in vain, and afterward he cannot back out (Tosafot)

³ The Romans made R' Yehudah the ראש המדברים because he praised them (Shabbos 33b)

⁴ For more on the principle of requiring ראוי לבילה, see http://www.webshas.org/kelal/misc/beelah.htm

⁵ This is here because it deals with שיעורים (Rashi), or because it involves R' Yehudah as the lead authority (Tosafot)

 $[\]frac{6}{7}$ It fits the exile theme of the π (Maharsha), and the loss of land renders even the wealthy uncertain (Torah Temimah)

⁷ Why doesn't Rashi to Devarim 28:66 cite the middle of the three derashot? (Maharsha's insight into Rashi's derech)