לכן אמור לבני ישראל אני ה' Speak to Bnei Yisrael and tell them that I am Hashem (6:6) – Rashi and the Rashbam (Pesachim 99b) note that the 4 cups at Pesach represent the 4 languages of freedom mentioned here. While one can drink if necessary between the first and second cups and between the second and third, one is not supposed to drink anything between cups 3 and 4. Rav Asher Weiss Shlita suggested an interesting hint here. Many see a difference between the redemption of the body and the soul. By telling us not to break the 4th language apart from the first 3, and the fourth – ילקחתי – representing the redemption of the soul, we are highlighting the necessity of not separating between the 2 Geulot.

is identified as one of 4 who died without sin (Shabbos 55b), how could he marry his aunt? How could a marriage that brought out משה אהרן, and Miriam result from a Shidduch that would be unacceptable in the Torah? Rav משה Feinstein ztl. answers that יוכבד and יוכבד his aunt? How could a marriage that brought out אהרן אהרן and אוליב מוער מולים אולים אולים אולים מולים אולים אולים אולים מולים אולים מולים ווערשים. This is consistent with the words of Rav Chaim of Volozhin who explains that the Avos and others who kept the Torah did so as שאינם מצווין ועושים מולים מולים מולים מולים מולים ווער שים מולים מולים

בני בכורי ישראל My son my Bechor Yisrael (4:22) – The Kuzari notes that we are different than the rest of the world. Rav Schachter Shlita would often point out that this is the uniqueness of being עם הנבחר. What does this mean? Rav Schachter notes that when we are חבים that means that we represent the sense of Godliness to the world. He would often remind us that this is the responsibility of the בכור in general – that he is to represent the parental ideal to the other family members. Sometimes we do not do this job well, and it hurts our standing as עם הנבחר.

פרעה (7:2) - Rashi explains that what this פחש פסוק means is that each time Hashem gave משה a message to transmit to משה would relate it once, and then אהרן would repeat it in a way that משה a message to transmit to משה would relate it once, and then שה would repeat it in a way that פרעה would be able to understand. The Ramban, on the other hand, learns that משה would not say it in front of פרעה at all. Rather, he would tell it to אהרן who would then transmit the message to פרעה. According to Rashi, what was the purpose in משה Rabbeinu saying each נבואה one time, if אהרן was only going to understand it from אהרן Rav משה Twersky ztl. HYD opined that Hashem needed to be involved in the entirety of אהרן. This included the warnings. If only אהרן (agency). That is why שליחות שה השה אהרן would have been a mechanism of שליחות (agency). That is why שליחות at least once who served as the mouthpiece of the Shechina – had to say each warning to at least once. That way, it was a full manifestation of Ha'Kadosh Baruch Hu Himself taking Klal Yisrael out of מצרים.

אמר אל אהרן קח את מטך Tell אמר to take your staff (7:19) – The first three Makos which involved hitting were done by משה as opposed to משה because both the land and the water had saved משה in his life and משה felt indebted to them. However, water and land are both inanimate so what difference does hitting it or not make? Rav Wolbe ztl. explains that the issue of refraining was not for the water or the land but rather for משה משה ame knew that a refined person shows dignity to anything he comes in

contact with and benefits from. If one trains himself in regard to even that which is inanimate, certainly s/he will do the same for animate items.

למחר Tomorrow (8:6) – Why didn't פרעה want the frogs out today? Why wait until tomorrow and continue to suffer for 24 hours? Rashi explains that פרעה to daven now that the frogs disappear tomorrow because this would demonstrate that the power was distinct from that of the Egyptian magicians who could only do things immediately. To make this point, פרעה was willing to suffer. Rav Simcha Zissel Broide ztl. notes the power of פרעה's scientific curiosity that led him to wait it out. Once he had the freedom of mind, he hardened his heart – not because of his doubt but rather his stubbornness.

went out and משה called out to Hashem about the frogs (8:8) – Why is it only by the frogs do we find משה crying out to remove a Makkah? Rav Chaim Kamil ztl. noted that the Frogs were famous for their מסירות נפש and they serve as the source for the message of משה in the future (Pesachim 93b). That being the case, משה knew that ordinary prayer would not be able to remove them. In order to overpower the frogs, the style would need to be stronger – hence the אנקה ביצוק משה אל ה' על דבר הצפרדעים.

Haftorah: התנים הגדולים The great 'tanim' (serpent) that crouches within its rivers, who has said, 'Mine is my river and I have made myself.'" (Yechezkel 29:3) – Rav Avraham Rivlin Shlita pointed out that in contrast to pharaoh who give credit to the great serpents in the waters, the Jewish view of the Leviathan (identified in the Gemara as the Taninim HaGedolim) is as a vehicle for Kavod Shomayim. In contrast to the arrogant Pharaoh, who says, "Mine is my river and I have made myself," the Jew says, "Know that Hashem, He is G-d; He made us and (not we) [we are His]." (Tehillim 100:3) The written form is, "and not we," and the spoken form is, "and we are his." These two readings of the pasuk complement each other. When we know that it is "not we" that made ourselves, then "we are His," and then we will merit to say, "For Hashem is good, His kindness endures forever!" (100:5) .