R'Saffer B"Iyun Newsletter

B'racha Rishoina

Zman Krias Shma d'layla - 2 Vol. 1, Issue #4

We noted over the past few weeks that the first *Tosafos* in brachos (d"h m'aimasai) offers a perplexing suggestion of R"T that pre-tzeis mincha/maariv minyanim are acceptable because they follow the opinion of R' Yehudah that mincha ends and maariv begins at plag. This R"T instigates many formidable questions about zmanei tefillah which we will be covering as we learn the masechta. For our purposes, we were very focused on how the evening krias shma (KS) recited at this early maariv could fulfill the obligation of the evening KS as the Torah states "b'shochvecha". Clearly, it is hard to imagine that KS recited in broad daylight could be regarded as being recited "b'shochvecha". As we noted, Rashi dismisses the KS recited at early maariv as counting for any mitzvah d'oraisa for this reason.

Tosafos, who we pointed out agrees in principle to the position of R"T, somehow sees a meaningful link between the mitzvah of the evening KS and the shita of R' Yehuda. As such, he allows for KS to be recited after plag. The question we asked was, what is the connection between Rav Yehudah and "b'shochvecha"? After all, R' Yehudah at best is establishing when halachik layla(night) begins. "B'shachvecha", in contrast, requires not only halachik night, but actually "going to bed", which presumably can only take place after tzeis. This we pointed out was the question raised by the Rashba on shitas Tosafos when he states that after plag will suffice for maariv, but for KS we require "zman shechiva (bedtime zman)".

Last week we introduced one classical stream of thought posed by many achronim to answer this question. Namely, that when the pasuk states "b'shochvecha", it is not literally talking about "going to bed", it is merely poetically alluding to layla (nighttime). As such, any time that can be regarded as halachikally night, would qualify for "b'shochvecha". If one follows R' Yehudah, they may recite the evening KS once "halachik night" begins, at plag. You might ask (as we did last week), plag is hardly "halachik night" for most dinei d'oraisa. For example, would anyone suggest that one can perform melacha after plag on shabbos day? We will address this question.

To be fair, there are some spots in the *sugya* where there seems to be evidence of this fundamental split in the interpretation of the pasuk as the classical mehalech suggests. One example of such evidence is the Tosafos On 2b. Tosafos quotes the gm in which R' Yehudah is puzzled by how R' Meir could hold that the evening zman KS begins when the kohanim are tovlin for terumah, as it is still day. Tosafos asks, why is R' Yehudah taking issue with R' Meir? Doesn't R' Yehudah himself hold that KS can be recited after plag while it is still day? Tosafos then answers that R' Yehudah, unlike R' Meir, allows for KS after plag because he isn't doresh "b'shachvecha". This Tosafos is extremely difficult to understand. What does Tosafos mean when he says that R' Yehudah is not doresh "b'shachvecha"? Many have suggested truly novel interpretations. According to the classical raid cited above, the Tosafos reads quite cleanly. The point of the Tosafos is that R' Meir, by virtue of being doresh "b'shachvecha", literally requires a "bedtime zman" which must be when it is already dark out, whereas R' Yehudah, who is not doresh the pasuk literally, only requires a "halachik night zman" that could in theory be at any time after plag.

Another prime piece of evidence for the existence of this

"classical" split is the shita of Rav Acha in the gm on 2b. The guideline attributed to R' Acha on 2b is "at the hour that most people enter their houses to eat". Tosafos \ RI (2a) d"h m'aimasai suggest that this is the earliest of the times recorded in the gm; a time when there is still daylight (m'beod yom) that falls within the boundaries of "halachik night". The Rashba we already pointed out does not accept Tosafos' assertion that KS could be recited after plag (according to R' Yehuda). He had stated that after plag is not zman shechiva (bedtime zman) according to anyone. It is then no wonder that he does not accept Tosafos' "daylight' version of Rav Acha, as it is not "zman shechiva", when people go to sleep. The Rashba (2b d"h R' Chanina) accordingly maintains that Rav Acha is referring to a after tzies, which is an appropriate shechiva" (bedtime zman).

The essential difficulty in the classical approach is that once you view the *machlokes Rashba/Tosafos* as diverging interpretation of "b'shachvecha", you would expect the *Rashba* to only be concerned with "bedtime" and *Tosafos* to only be concerned with "halachik night" in determining the time for evening KS. You would expect there to be no *shita* in the gm according to the *Rashba* that allows for the KS to be recited before it is dark out, as nobody actually goes to sleep then. Conversely, you would expect that *Tosafos* be concerned only with when "halachik night" is, as the *zman* of actual bedtime is totally irrelevant if you are not *doresh* "b'shachvecha".

Having conceded that there are points in the sugya where the clean split in the interpretation of "b'shachvecha" seems to be at play, R' Saffer continued with a frontal assault on this assertion. As we carefully combed through the Rashba and Tosafos it became clear to all that they do not adhere to this split in any consistent fashion. The Rashba for example, has shitas Rav Eliezer in our gm as being from shkia rishoina, which is 4 mil (app. 72-96 minutes) before tzeis. It seems unlikely that such a time in which it is still light outside would be considered "actual bedtime" for most people. Moreover, the Rashba records Rav Chanina's anecdotal bedtime criteria in the gm on 2b of "when a poor person enters his house to eat" as being an appropriate gauge for "z'man shechiva". The Rashba then records R' Acha's criteria of "when most people enter their houses" as being later than Rav Eliezer's zman. Now I ask you, if the Rashba held that Rav Chanina's z'man represented a "zman shechiva" that literally means "bedtime" for most people, how is it that most people are only entering their houses moments later at R' Acha's time? It seems clear from many sources, that the notion of "z'man shechiva" within the Rashba is not quite as literal as we thought.

Upon further review, *Tosafos*'s notion of "halachik night" also does not seem as ironclad as we thought. *Tosafos* can leave you with the impression that KS after *plag* is no problem for *R' Yehudah* because that hour is considered "night" halachikally. We pointed out earlier that, while it is possible that *plag* could be considered "halachik night" for some halachos such as tosefes shabbos, or halachos d'robonon such as k'riyas meggilah on purim and hadlakas neiros on chanukah, it is clearly impossible to believe that this time is literally viewed as "layla (night)" for all halachos, especially not such a night-dependent d'oraisa halacha as kriyas shma according to Tosafos. In truth, Tosafos himself concedes that a pre-tzeis time is "m'beod yom" in his description of Rav Acha's position in our gm.

When you examine Tosafos and the Rashba closely, more peculiarities emerge. It seems that the Rashba, who is supposedly operating within the concept of z'man shechivah, makes numerous references to "zmanei laila". On the other hand, Tosafos, whom we said is only concerned with "halachik night", has no problem utilizing the term "zmanei shechiva" in describing the zmanei KS. On 2b the gm evaluates when R' Chanina's anecdotal time falls out in the context of the evening. Tosafos (2b) d"H haynu, explaining the gm insists that it cannot fall out before tzeis because the accepted zmanei shechiva of shkiyah (R' Eliezer) and pre-bein hashemashos (R' Meir) have already been expressed and would thus make the position of R' Chanina redundant. What is startling is that Tosafos seems to be joining up with the Rashba in describing pre-tzeis zmanim as "zmanei shechiva". What happened to Tosafos' "halachik night" as zman KS? Even more shocking is how either of them can describe a zman as early as shkia rishoina (72-96 min. before tzeis) as a zman shechiva. Plus, once we are already calling pre-tzeis zmanim, "zmanei shechiva" why would R' Chanina be any more redundant in a pre-tzeis zman than in a post-tzeis zman.

We were all left scratching our heads when R' Saffer pointed out the gm's conclusion that there is a post-tzeis zman of R' Chanina "when the poor man enters to eat his meal". Isn"t this proof positive that Tosafos must agree that "zman shechiva" is the definition of zman KS. Since when is their a "halachik night" category of "when the poor man enters to eat his meal. At this point things began to look bleak as R' Saffer succeeded in creating one big "chulent". We were no longer able to clearly see any difference between the potatoes and the beans, between Tosafos and Rashba.

R' Saffer, physically and emotionally drained after weeks of overexertion in finding bedrock pshat in this Tosafos, explained as follows: There is no major machlokes between Tosafos and the Rashba. While there might be some "minor" disagreements about the existence of certain "zmanei KS" (such as plag and the "m'beod yom zman" of Rav Acha), it is not reflective of a fundamental substantive machlokes. The most reasonable approach that emerges from a scrupulous reading of both Rashba and Tosafos, is that they both adhere to the principles alluded to in the gm; that post-tzeis zmanim are anecdotal, and pre-tzeis zmanim are tied to pre-existing parameters of halachik night. How is "b'shachvecha" to be understood within such an approach? Simple. Both Rashba and Tosafos (R"T \RI) are looking to determine "zman shchiva". That is, after all, what the pasuk says. The issue is, how do you determine z'man shechiva?

When *chazal* determined the earliest possible time for the evening KS, what was their criteria? In order to stay true to the literal meaning of the *pasuk* did they determine the time "people go to sleep", as the earliest time KS can be recited?

It is clear from the gm that that is not the case. Consider the anecdotal post-tzeis time frames recorded in the gm, "when people enter their house to eat...", "when the poor man enters to eat his meal...", "when men enter the house on erev shabbos...". These people aren't tucking under the covers, they are going inside to first eat dinner! They haven"t even brushed their teeth yet. How could this be viewed as actual bedtime? We are absolutely forced to say that the post-tzeis criteria of KS includes a short-period when one is preparing for and getting ready to go to bed. R' Saffer coined this as "pre-bedtime". This makes sense, because, don't forget, we are talking about the evening KS, which understandably can not be said when you are fast asleep.

What we have seen then is that *z'man shechiva* (ZS) is a time that must be inclusive of "pre-bedtime", as well as bedtime. This being the case, one critical question remains. What is the rationale for the pre-*tzeis zmanim*? Apparently, those *deios* in the *gm* that held of the *pre-tzeis zmanim* opted to follow pre-existing halachik parameters of evening as the determining factor of when

zman KS could be. This also makes sense. Having established that "zman shechiva" is not actual bedtime but expansive to prebedtime, it is logical that there would be opinions who would argue and utilize "night-time" as their expanded "zman shechiva" time frame. Why add on an arbitrary pre-bedtime zman, when an "expanded" halachik zman associated with bedtime already exists in the form of layla (halachik night).

What emerges is both a subtle and crucial modification of the classical raid. The issue at hand is not whether "b'shachvecha" means "say KS at bedtime" or "say KS at layla (night)". Rather, all agree that "zman shechiva – halachik bedtime" is the one and only m'chayev of KS. However, opinions in the gm vary as to whether that zman shechiva is determined by the times that people are going to bed, or by pre-existing zmanai layla.

R' Saffer then proceeded to add support and clarity to this idea. Where else do we see such a notion that "conceptual" layla (night) is not the m'chayev, yet at the same time there is utilization of "layla (night)" for the parameters of sleep-time (zman shechiva)? He pointed to last years "Milchamta Shel Torah" shiurim in which a fascinating approach to the issur d'oraisa of "wearing tefillin at night" was developed. One approach to the issur was that "lishmor chukav" (the source of the issur) demanded shemira (protection) of the kedushas ha'tefillin, by not wearing them during zman shechiva (sleep-time). When is this zman shechiva? According to most opinions zman shechiva begins at "layla". Now while these opinions prohibit wearing tefillin at halachik night (such as tzeis and bein hashemashos), it is clear that the issur has nothing to do with "conceptual" "layla". It is only *layla* as "the *zman* people sleep" that generates the *issur*. Clearly, zman shechiva the zman in which kedushas hatefillin can not be protected, is what was prohibited

Having already dealt with most of our difficulties we will now spell out the for all to see the answers to our questions, utilizing the idea developed above. We were originally bothered by Tosafos' usage of the terminology of "zmanei shechiva" for pretzeis zmanim. This is no longer difficult as we now know that "zmanei shechiva" also utilize halachik night for its parameters. We also can explain why R' Chanina will be more redundant in a pre-tzeis zman than in a post-tzeis zman, even while describing them both as zmanei shechiva. It is simple, even though both pre and post tzeis zmanim are zmanei shechiva, post-tzeis z'manim can in theory, be numerous, as they are anecdotal indicators of when people actually go to sleep. The pre-tzeis z'manim, in contrast, are limited to pre-established halachik time slots because nobody is going to sleep at that time.

In the spirit of full disclosure, there is another type of zman shechiva we developed to explain the machlokes Rashba and Tosafos. The Rashba could accept even Shkia Rishoina as a type of haschalas halayla, and thus a viable zman shechiva. He could not, however, accept plag according to R' Yehuda or the m'beod yom version of R' Acha as acceptable zmanei shechiva. Tosafos (R"T \ RI) would argue that true neither plag nor is R' Acha's "m'beod yom zman" is haschalas halayla. However, they are prelayla z'manim. "Pre-layla", in R' Acha is clear as Tosafos describes it as the time right before layla, m'beod yom. Plag, is the classical pre-layla zman (at least according to R' Yehuda) as Tos. on 27a point out that *Tosefes Shabbos* can start no earlier than plag. Now you might ask what rationale is there for prelayla being included in the definition of zman shechiva? "Layla" itself is already an expanded form of zman shechiva, as people are not actually going to bed yet. For this R' Saffer was mechadesh that pre-layla can indeed be a form of zman shechiva. What must be said though is that according to Tosafos the halachik time slot of layla has metamorphosed into "actual" zman shechiva (ie. bedtime). Therefore, like post-tzeis zmanei shechiva which we said includes pre-bedtime, so too pre-tzeis zmanei shechiva will now include the pre-layla zmanim as it too is defined as prebedtime.