B'racha Rishoina

R'Saffer B"Iyun Newsletter

Zman K'rias Shma Vol. 1, Issue #3

Kudos to all those who participated in the Yarchei Kallah. Special hakaras hatov for the organizers, **Dr. David** Kallus and **R' Tzvi Lazer Gherman, Zevi Weissmandel** with the oichlin, and of course **R' Saffer**, who keeps us shteiging and pumped. May he be zoiche to have the strength and wisdom to keep all of us juiced all year long. Finally, no endeavor in the shul would happen without the constant chizuk and encouragement of our morah d'asra **Rav Malinowitz**, shlita, who capped off the program with a final shiur on "kavana b'krias shma". All those who wish to continue shvitzing in meseches brachos, please contact <u>edolavi@aol.com</u> or any of the members of the chaburah to inquire about scheduling and chavrusos.

Our Yarchei Kallah limud concluded with the shitas RI and R"T in the first Tosafos in brachos (2a). The last two weeks, we addressed the issues surrounding Rashi in depth. Very briefly, our mishna clearly states that the mitzvah of the evening KS is after tzeis hakochavim. The problem both Rashi and Tosafos faced is that most shuls doven maariv early and therefore recite KS before tzies. Rashi dealt with the contradiction by suggesting that the KS that is part of maariv is simply part of an enactment "la'amod b'tefilah mitoch divrei torah". The mitzvas KS, on the other hand, is fulfilled with the KS "al hamita" which is recited after tzeis.

Tosafos contended that the KS "*al hamita*" lacks the characteristics we would expect from KS. KS "*al hamita*" is comprised of only one *parsha*, it is not followed by or preceded by any *b* '*rachos*, and it is not in the context of *tefillah* with the requisite *semichas geula l'tefilla*.

Having discussed possible approaches for *Rashi* on these issues, and analyzing the differing opinions of *Rashi* and *Tosafos* that emerged, we finally turn our attention to the *shita* of *Tosafos*. If indeed *Tosafos* maintains that the KS recited at *maariv* must be the KS that fulfills the *mitzva d'oraisa* of the evening KS, how do they understand the *minhag* of many shuls to doven *maariv* early in light of the fact that our *mishna* requires the evening KS to be recited after *tzeis*?

Tosafos first quotes **R**"**T** who answers that the *minhag* of the communities that doven early is based on the *shita* of R' Yehudah in the fourth perek. There, R' Yehudah holds that the latest time to bring the "korban tamid shel bein ha'arbaim" and thus doven mincha was at plag hamincha, after which maariv can be recited. R"T simply explains that our mishna insists on KS being recited after *tzeis* because it follows the view of the chochamim who disagree with R' Yehudah. Otherwise, claims R"T, followers of R' Yehudah may recite the KS after plag.

A careful reading of our Tosafos and Tosafos on 2b (d"h

amar lei) has him in agreement with the premise of R"T that *R' Yehudah* would allow the KS to be recited after *plag*. However, as *Tosafos* points out, the solution of R"T in explaining the *minhag* of dovening *maariv* early is extremely difficult. The difficulty according to R"T is that the early *minyan* is a *mincha / maariv minyan*. As a result, the *minyan* ends up following the *shita* of *R' Yehuda* by dovening *maariv* before *tzeis*, but they also at the same time follow the shita of the *chachomim* by dovening *mincha* after *plag*. This adherence to both *shitos* is obviously an internal contradiction (*tarti desasrei*). Our *chaburah* hopes to delve into the details of this contradiction in depth when we revisit the issue in the fourh *perek*.

The issue of *tarti desasri* notwithstanding, one obvious question raised by Beis Tefilla Yona Avraham CEO, R' Benjy Kwalwasser was the bomb kashia of the Rashba. How could R"T and *Tosafos* agree that KS *d'oraisa* can be recited after plag while it is still technically day? R"T suggested that just as R' Yehudah would allow for maariv to be recited after *plag*, he would also allow for the evening KS to be recited at that time and fulfill the *mitzva* d'oraisa. But we must ask, why would anyone think that *maariv* and KS are governed by the same criteria of time? After all, the time for *maariv* is determined by the timing The timing of KS, in contrast, is of the korbanos. governed by the definition of "z'man shechivah", which presumably can only refer to a time after nightfall. How does the machlokes R' Yehudah/Chachamim about when mincha ends and maariv begins, have any bearing on when the earliest time for the evening KS is?

The Raid

R' Saffer taught us that the classical explanation for *Tosafos* essentially hinges upon revamping our perception of what the pasuk means when it says "b'shochvecha Rashba seems to be assuming u'vekumecha". "b'shochvecha" means that the evening KS must be recited during "zeman shechivah", which presumably should be a point in the day in which it is already dark, as people are going to sleep. Indeed, Rabbeinu Yonah dh v'af al pi (folio1b) seemingly goes with this understanding of "b'shochvecha" in explaining why the gm 2b refers to the pesukim in Nechemia as being merely a "zecher *l'davar*" for *tzeis* (being the *zman* for KS). He states that while there might be "raiya l'davar" (proof) that tzeis is nightfall, no proof is provided from the *pesukim in* Nechemia that tzeis is the zman KS, when people go to sleep (see RI M'lunil 2b d"h tanu rabanan, for a different interpretation of the gm's use of the term "zecher l'davar").

Tosafos (R"T and RI) we will soon see have a different view of the *zman* KS derived from "*b'shochvecha*". The

gm on 2b records numerous anecdotal guidelines for when the zman for the evening KS should be (when the poor enter to eat their meal, when the kohanim become tahor to eat their terumah, when people enter their house to eat on Friday night....). The guideline attributed to R' Acha on 2b is "at the hour that most people enter their houses to eat". This guideline is ambiguous, and is the subject of a machlokes RI (Tosafos) and Rashba. The RI (2a) d"h *m'aimasai* suggest that this is the earliest of the times recorded in the gm: it is a time when there is still daylight (m'beod yom). The Rashba, however, does not accept this pshat, presumably because daytime, is not "zman shechiva", when people go to sleep. Tosafos (R"T and have a different understanding RI), must of "b'shochvecha". We already pointed out that according to Tosafos, KS can be recited after plag hamincha (according to R' Yehudah), which is way before the time people go to sleep. Defenders of Tosafos point out that the pasuk never explicitly demanded that KS be recited during a time when people are going to sleep. "B'shochvecha u'vekumecha" does not have to be understood literally. The pasuk could be simply using the words "b'shochvecha u'vekumecha" as a poetic way of saying "day and night". This indeed is the position of the Gra according to the Yerushalmi (1:1) in the sugva of safek kara es krias shma.

You might ask, what is the difference? Whether the evening KS needs to be recited during the "time that people go to sleep" or during the "night", it is equally difficult to understand the position of *Tosafos* that allows for evening KS to be recited while it is still day.

The truth is that there is a big difference between "night" and "the time that people are going to sleep". "The time that people are going to sleep" can only be understood as a time when it is already dark outside and fit for sleep. "Night", however, is a <u>halachik timeframe</u>. As a halachik timeframe, the definition of night is not necessarily limited to a period of time during which it is dark outside. It is possible that **Tosafos** view the *machlokes* R' *Yehudah / Chachamim* as determining when the *zman* of *mincha* ends, and consequently when night begins thereafter. If this were true, then night would technically begin after *plag hamincha* according to *R' Yehudah*. If indeed "*b'shochvecha*" means "during (halachik) **night**", then we can understand how the evening KS can be recited after *plag hamincha* according to *Tosafos*.

R' Saffer then cited sources that corroborate the idea that *plag* could indicate the beginning of night. The *Meiri* on 27b comments that *plag hamincha* is "the beginning of sunset" (*chamah mascheles l'hishtakea*) and that before *plag* "there isn't even a trace of night" (*ein sham serach layla*). This implies that *plag* can be viewed as the starting point of night. The *Mordechai* (*brachos* siman 1) expresses more explicitly that *plag hamincha* is night (*m'plag hamincha v'eilach lelei hu*)! R' Saffer brought other similar comments in *Rishoinim*. He also pointed out that the SA by *hilchos channukah* and *hilchos megilah* suggest that *chanukah* lighting and *mikreh megilla* (and some say even *sefiras ha'omer*) can be said at *plag* at the earliest. These *rishoinim* and *halachos* seem to support the

notion that *plag* marks the beginning of *halachik* "night".

The Problems

The solution of viewing *plag* as the beginning of night seems plausible, and addresses the critical question in Tosafos, but R' Saffer noted that some serious cracks can be found when this *pshat* is held up to the light. First of all, although R' Yehudah clearly allows for maariv to be said after *plag*, it is hard to believe that he considered *plag* to be the actual halachik "beginning" of night. Would anyone think that one is permitted to do melacha deoraisa on Shabbos day after plag? Would anyone say that if Rabbeinu Matt's baby boy had been born on Monday night after *plag* that he should have his *bris* the following Tuesday (instead of Monday, since the baby was considered to be born at *halachik* night)? The only interpretation of R' Yehudah that makes sense is that plag is considered a quasi-night stage (with some *dinei layla*) meaningful for certain halachos, such as tosefes shabbos, or certain *mitzvos d'robonon* (*chanuka*, *megilla* and *sefiras* haomer). If that is the case, it seems unlikely that a mitzvah d'oraisa such as the evening KS could be recited at this quasi-night type of halachik timeframe. One would imagine that if "b'shochvecha" refers to night, there would be a requirement that it be fully night.

Futhermore, a careful inspection of *Tosafos*' own words reveal that they do not require night for KS. Tosafos (who we mentioned agrees with R"T in principle regarding KS according to R" *Yehuda* being at *plag*), has no difficulty with his interpretation of *Rav Acha* as allowing for KS at the time of *nichnasim l'seudas shabbos* which he describes as "*m'beod yom*".

The Solution – Next Week

A deep dissection of the gm and *rishoinim* on 2b led to at least 3 more bomb questions on the raid (see aforementioned *Rashba* and *Tosafos* d"h *v'eey* and d"h *v'amar lei*). R' Saffer then suggested a wholly novel approach in the formulation of both "*b'shochvecha u'vekumecha*" and the halachik definition of *zman* KS. Our corporate philososophy at BR is to not merely give a flavor of our topic to our beloved audience. We will not compromise the nuances of our "heavy duty *kashias*" and the subsequent refined *lomdus* by presenting them in a less-than-pristine fashion. Being that this last *shiur* was technically involved, a proper treatment of the five questions posed in *shiur*, unfortunately requires another volume of *Bracha Rishoina*, that will *b'eh* be on your tables next week.

The editorial board of BR would like to wish a hearty Mazel Tov to our esteemed colleague, <u>Rabbeinu Matt</u> <u>Bornstein</u> (aka "Mr. google"), and his illustrious family, on the birth of a bouncing baby boy this past Monday, way before plag. May the young man grow to be a talmid chochom, juiced in learning and pumped in mitzvos like his parents. May his family and all of K'lal Yisroel derive nachas from him in good health and happiness for many years to come.