## Ketuvot 11b<sup>2</sup> – The Clueless Husband

R' Mordechai Torczyner – torczyner@torontotorah.com



Gemara: What if he didn't know she was a mukat eitz when they married?

Who says she receives a payment where the husband didn't know beforehand?					
	He knew before marrying her He did not know beforehar				
Rami bar Chama	Rabbi Meir: 200	0			
	Chachamim: 100				
Rava I	Rabbi Meir: 200	Rabbi Meir: <u>200</u>			
	Chachamim: 100	Chachamim: 0			
Rava II	Rabbi Meir: 200	Rabbi Meir: <u>200</u>			
	Chachamim: 100	Chachamim: <u>100</u>			

Braitot conflict where he finds out she wasn't a betulah at the time of the wedding,						
but he and she have different claims of how it happened						
	Braita 1	Rav Sheshet	Braita 2	Braita 2, per	Rava	
	v2	Braita 1 v3	Original	Rav Chiya bar Avin	Braita 1 v4	
Mukat eitz pre-kiddushin	-	-	-	-	100	
Rape pre-kiddushin	-	100	0	100	-	
Znut pre-kiddushin	100	100	-	-	0	

Kesubah amounts where a woman turns out to be beulah

- Version 1 of Rabbah: A braita says: If a woman had a previous marriage, then even with witnesses that nothing happened, her husband cannot later claim he thought she was a betulah.
  - o (12a) Main Q: Rabbah: Can we generalize from this braita to say that any time he marries with a chazakah of betulah, and she was a beulah, she still receives 100?
    - A: Rav Ashi: No; this case is different, because he knew she had been married.<sup>1</sup>
  - o Side Q2: Are we not concerned that it could have been znut after kiddushin?<sup>2</sup>
    - A: Ray Sherayya: Normally, we would be concerned; here, nothing could have happened.
- Version 2 of Rabbah: Our mishnah said: A betulah who has been married receives 100, no matter how that
  marriage ended.. And there is no claim of having thought she was a betulah.
  - Main Q1: Rabbah: Can we generalize from this braita to say that any time he marries with a chazakah of betulah, and she was a beulah, she still receives 100?
    - A: Ray Ashi: No; this case is different, because he knew she had been married.
  - Side Q2: Are we not concerned that it could have been znut after kiddushin?
    - A: Rav Sheravya: Normally, we would be concerned; here, nothing could have happened.
- If you have Version 1 (witnesses), you certainly accept that she gets 100 in Version 2
- But if you have Version 2, you might say that in Version 1 she receives nothing; he relied on witnesses.

## הר"ן על הרי"ף מסכת כתובות דף א עמוד א

ואחרים אומרים דחופה לאו היינו יחוד. וראיה לדבר מדאמרינן לקמן [דף יב. ע"ש] דאלמנה מן הנשואין אין לה אלא מנה אף על פי שעדים מעידין עליה שלא נסתרה ולא נבעלה, אלמא חופה לאו היינו יחוד, אלא חופה היינו כל שהביאה הבעל מבית אביה לביתו לשם נשואין. וילפינן לה מדכתיב "ואם בית אישה נדרה," דמשמע דכל זמן שהיא בבית אישה הרי היא ברשותו.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What about the witnesses? (Tosafot Ketuvot 12a שאני)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rashi reads this as a challenge to the braita; Tosafot reads it as a challenge to Rav Ashi.